

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

OLYMPIC AIR, INC.; CATLIN  
INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HELICOPTER TECHNOLOGY COMPANY,  
et al.,

Defendants.

WILLIAM G. REED and MARY E. REED,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HELICOPTER TECHNOLOGY COMPANY,  
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C17-1257-RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR A FINDING OF  
CONTEMPT

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiffs William G. Reed and Mary E. Reed's "Cross Motion for a Finding of Contempt Re This Court's Order Compelling Discovery." (Dkt. # 130). Having reviewed the submissions of the parties and the remainder of the record, the Court finds as follows:

On September 27, 2021, the Court granted the Reeds' motion to dismiss their claims with prejudice and entered judgment dismissing their claims because they had settled their claims against their only remaining defendant, Helicopter Technology Company. See Dkts. # 157  
ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR A FINDING OF  
CONTEMPT - 1

1 (Order), # 158 (Judgment). The Reeds were consequently terminated as parties to the case as of  
2 the same date.

3 The Reeds brought the instant motion. See Dkt. # 130 at 2. The remaining plaintiffs,  
4 Olympic Air, Inc. and Catlin Insurance Company, Inc. did not join this motion. Because the  
5 moving parties have settled, the Court concludes that the motion is moot. See Riverhead Sav.  
6 Bank v. Nat'l Mortg. Equity Corp., 893 F.2d 1109, 1112 (9th Cir. 1990).

7 For all of the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Reeds' Cross  
8 Motion for a Finding of Contempt Re This Court's Order Compelling Discovery (Dkt. # 130) is  
9 DENIED as moot, without prejudice to the remaining plaintiffs' ability to raise the issue in their  
10 own motions.

11 DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2022.

12  
13 

14 Robert S. Lasnik  
15 United States District Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28